

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DOUGLAS ALLEN ASHCROFT,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	3:11-cv-00828-TC
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF	)	
CORRECTIONS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on March 16, 2012, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,  
656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920  
(1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,  
given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge  
Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed March 16, 2012, in  
its entirety. Petitioner's petition (#2) is denied. This  
proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court will enter judgment  
accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

Michael S. Hagan  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE